The Pakistan Development Review Vol. XXVII, No. 4 Part II (Winter 1988)

Some Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality Risks in Pakistan 1962 – 1986

MOHAMMAD AFZAL, TARIQ ASLAM RAJA and ALI MOHAMMAD

INTRODUCTION

In Pakistan the history of alternative sampling approaches to collect demographic data for direct and indirect estimation of population parameters extends back for three decades. The sources which provided directly usable statistical data for estimating fertility and mortality rates, are the 1962-65 Population Growth Estimation (PGE), the 1968-71 and 1976-79 Population Grwoth Surveys (PGS), and the 1984-86 Pakistan Demographic Surveys (PDS). Since these surveys yielded series of data which could be directly used for working out estimates which are in accordance with the conventional concepts, their results are being given a prior consideration in this paper. The second series of demographic surveys in Pakistan consists of the one-time retrospective surveys, which provided data to which indirect techniques could be applied for working out the estimates of fertility and mortality. The three most prominent of such surveys are: the 1975 Pakistan Fertility Surveys (PFS), the 1979-80 Population, Labour Force and Migration Survey (PLM) and the 1984-85 Pakistan Contraceptive Prevalence Survey (PCPS). The objective of this paper is to provide some direct and indirect estimates of infant and child mortality risks from these sources with a view to examining differentials and trends in these estimates

The area of infant-child mortality in Pakistan has been the focus of some recent demographic studies [Alam and Cleland (1984); Sathar (1985, 1987); Irfan (1986)]. The results of the present study are based on the analysis of relevant data from two types of sources which collected data by following more or less the same approach and to which the same methodology was applied in order to get results which were conceptually more consistent.

DIRECT ESTIMATES

The direct estimates of infant and child mortality risks were obtained from the

*The authors are Chief of Research and Associate Staff Demographers respectively, at the Pakistan Institute of Development Economics. The senior author acknowledges with thanks the cooperation extended by Zubeda Khan, S. Mubashir Ali (Research Demographers), Zafar Mueen Nasir (Staff Economist), and Mohammad Rafiq (Senior Computer Programmer) at different stages of the study. data on age-specific deaths by sex provided by the PGE 1962–65, PGS 1968–71 and 1976–79, and the PDS 1984–86 surveys. For this purpose a revised series of abridged life tables were prepared and the estimates of infant mortality rate $\binom{0}{0}q_1$ and child mortality risks $\binom{0}{0}q_5$ taken from these tables are provided in Table 1.

The table shows that during 1962–65 the average infant mortality rate was substantially high, with the female rate higher than that for the male. During the subsequent decade not only did the risks of deaths to infants decline significantly but the patterns of sex differentials in such risk were also reversed i.e. female infant mortality became much less than that for the male. While the 1962–65 PGE did not yield separate estimates for urban and rural segments of the population, the PGS and the PDS series did provide separate data for construction of urban and rural life tables. Table 1 shows that the urban infant mortality rates have been much lower than the corresponding rates for the rural areas. Also the male-female differentials, were higher for urban areas (1.19) than for rural areas (1.12). The 1976–79 PGS average rates indicated a further decline in the risks of death to infants in both urban and rural areas, with their male to female ratio as 1.24 and 1.17 respectively. In other words, the decline in infant mortality risks between 1968–71 and 1976–79 provided to the female babies a further edge in survival, over male babies.

The PDS series initiated in 1984, however, show a sudden increase in the infant mortality risks in Pakistan, which perhaps are due more to the adjustments done by the data generation agency, than the reflection of the actual phenomena. This is because child mortality risks $({}_{4}q_{1})$ given by the same survey do not show a similar variation either in urban or in rural estimates. The adjustment in the PDS estimates resulted in an inflation of about 33 percent in the infant mortality rates as compared to the average PGS rate for 1976-79. The unreasonableness of the 1984 PDS rates, is further indicated by the reversal of the sex differentials by showing a 56 percent increase in the rural female rate as compared to their 1976-79 average levels. Moreover, the 1985 estimates of infant mortality clearly show a decline in their levels by about 13 percent and the realignment of the male-female differentials again to the male-higher and female-lower pattern shown earlier by the two PGS series. Incidentally, the male rural rates are indicated to be higher in 1985 than in the 1984 PDS. The 1986 rates come down to a more reasonable level, though still exceeding the 1976-77 PGS. The 1984, 1985, 1986 PDS infant-child mortality estimates do not confirm that this (PDS) series are an "improvement" over the previous series.

A relatively unbiased view of the secular decline in the mortality risks to children in Pakistan, during the preceding three decades, is, however, indicated by the estimates of probability of death from age 1 to age 5 $(_4q_1)$ i.e. the component of child mortality excluding infant mortality. The direct estimates from PGE, PGS and PDS series, show that such risks in both urban and rural areas continue to decline

Infant and Child Mortality Kisks from Life Tables Probability of Death from Area One ($1q_0$) Birth Age Area One ($1q_0$) Birth Age Source Area One ($1q_0$) Birth Age Source Area One ($1q_0$) Both Sexes Male Fewe (q_0) Fewe (q_0) Fewe (q_0) Source Age One to Age Both Sexes Male Both Sexes Male Fewe (q_0) Fewe (q_0) Fewe (q_0) Fewe (q_1) Source ($1q_1$) Age One to Age Source ($1q_1$) Age One to Age Fewel (q_1) Age One to Age Source ($1q_1$) Fewel (q_1) Fewel (q_1) Fewel (q_1) Fewel ($1q_1$) Fewel (q_1) Fewel ($1q_1$) Fe						Table 1					
Probability of Death from Birth Age Age one to Age One (1q0) Five (5q0) Five (4q1) Birth Sexes Male Five (5q0) Five (4q1) Birth Sexes Male Fire (5q0) Five (4q1) Birth Sexes Male Fire (5q0) Fire (4q1) Birth Sexes Male Fire (5q0) Fire (4q1) Birth Sexes Male Cole (102 Cole (40) Solution One (102 One (102 Colspan= (6q0) Solution One (102 Colspan= (6q0) Colspan= (6q0) Solution One (102 Colspan= (70) Colspan= (70)			Plan and a	Infant a	and Child A	Mortality Risks	s from Life	Tables	A PLA	So	berce upw ove
Birth fo Age Age One to Age Birth fo Age Age One to Age Jone (1q0) Fire (5q0) Age One to Age One (1q0) Fire (5q0) Age One to Age Jone (1q0) Fire (5q0) Age One to Age Both Sexes Male Fire (5q0) OP OP Age One to Age Both Sexes Male Fire (5q0) OP OP OP Fire (4q1) Both Sexes Male Fire (5q0) 137 139 136 137 090 073 106 074 073 006 073 006 073 006 073 016 074 073 074 073 074 073 076 073 076 073 076 073 073 076 073 076 073 076 073 076 073 076 076 0	1.940	nexê B. roş FRQI	1000 2010 2010		nacy ;, at (ata_)	Probabili	ity of Deat	th from	been SUS ivivin surve surve	1000	t 69 f the
One ($1q_0$) Five ($5q_0$) Five (q_1q_1) Source Area One ($1q_0$) Five (q_1q_1) Source Area One ($1q_0$) Five (q_1q_1) Set (LR) Both Sexes Male Female Both Sexes Male Female Female Fort (q_1q_1) SE (LR) Pakistan 110 1146 168 168 Fort (q_1q_1) Total Fort (q_1q_1) SC 1 Pakistan 110 1143 133 Fort (q_1q_1) Total Fort (q_1q_1) SC 1 Pakistan 100 086 Fort (q_1q_1) SC 1 Pakistan 101 103 073 073 SC 1 Fakistan				299. 2014 1914	Birth t	o Age	lo al); T) oach by V	Age	One to Age	abou on d
Def term Both Sexes Male Female Female Female Female Female Female Fort 103 106 103 103 103 106 103 106 103 106 103 106 103 106 103 106 103 106	Controo	Aron		One $(_1q_0)$	n the pecor rom		Five $(_5q_0)$	197 app gqs	I	ive $(_4q_1)$	
GE (LR) Pakistan .142 .139 .146 .214 .192 .237 .090 .073 .106 $662-65$	Source	AICd	Both Sexes	Male	Female	Both Sexes	Male	Female	Both Sexes	Male	Female
	GE (LR) 962-65	Pakistan	.142	.139	.146	.214	.192	.237	060.	.073	.106
668-71 Urban 092 100 084 136 139 133 048 044 053 Rural 114 121 108 178 179 073 066 080 75-11 Pakistan 094 102 086 132 136 128 039 046 080 75-19 Urban 074 082 066 132 136 149 073 039 046 080 976-79 Urban 074 082 086 132 146 147 073 040 073 055 984 Urban 108 119 097 140 136 144 070 047 043 033 028 984 Urban 108 119 097 140 136 144 031 033 028 035 035 035 035 035 035 035 035 035 035 035	GS – I	Pakistan	.110	.116	.103	.169	.169	.169	.068	.061	.074
Rural 114 121 108 178 179 073 066 080 65 -11 Pakistan 094 102 086 132 136 128 042 039 046 976-79 Urban 074 082 066 102 132 136 128 042 039 046 976-79 Urban 074 082 066 102 086 132 046 039 049 032 976-79 Urban 074 082 066 102 086 143 040 047 043 053 053 984 Urban 108 119 097 140 136 144 033 023 033 033 035 984 Urban 136 128 145 116 136 040 044 035 985 Urban 088 099 146 112 131 131 132	968-71	Urban	.092	.100	.084	.136	.139	.133	.048	.044	.053
GS-II Pakistan .094 .102 .086 .132 .136 .128 .042 .039 .046 976-79 Urban .074 .082 .066 .102 .136 .136 .136 .030 .029 .030 976-79 Urban .074 .082 .066 .102 .108 .047 .029 .032 By Urban .101 .109 .097 .143 .146 .047 .042 .039 .053 By Urban .126 .124 .126 .151 .153 .149 .047 .042 .033 .028 By Urban .108 .119 .097 .140 .156 .175 .040 .041 .033 .028 By Urban .088 .098 .109 .126 .176 .175 .040 .044 .033 By Urban .088 .098 .146 .112 .112 .033 .016 .028 .033		Rural	.114	.121	.108	.178	.178	.179	.073	.066	.080
976-79 Urban 074 082 066 .102 .108 095 0.30 0.29 0.32 Rural .101 .109 .093 .143 .146 .140 .074 .029 .032 DS Pakistan .125 .124 .126 .151 .153 .149 .031 .042 .032 DS Pakistan .125 .124 .126 .151 .153 .149 .031 .033 .029 .033 984 Urban .108 .119 .097 .146 .175 .040 .041 .033 985 Urban .088 .099 .134 .112 .113 .028 .026 .033 .025 985 Urban .088 .099 .146 .112 .112 .127 .131 .028 .026 .033 .025 986 Urban .083 .096 .127 .131 .122 .033	GS – II	Pakistan	.094	.102	.086	.132	.136	.128	.042	.039	.046
Rural101109093143146140047042052DSPakistan125124126151153149031033028984Urban108119097140136144020020019984Urban136119097140136144020020019985Urban109120099134144131026026035985Urban0880980791104112037036036035986Urban102137116159165157036036037986Urban102108096127131127036035037986Urban083084081102131122028028033986Urban117117112110112122021020023986Urban117117117112131102020023023986Urban117117116116159161020020023986Urban117117112101102020020023023986Urban1171161121161120200200200239861171171	976-79	Urban	.074	.082	.066	.102	.108	.095	.030	.029	.032
DS Pakistan .125 .124 .126 .151 .153 .149 .031 .033 .028 984 Urban .108 .119 .097 .140 .153 .149 .031 .033 .028 984 Urban .108 .119 .097 .140 .156 .177 .020 .020 .019 985 Pakistan .109 .120 .099 .134 .144 .021 .036 .035 985 Urban .088 .098 .079 .104 .112 .027 .036 .026 986 Urban .102 .108 .096 .127 .113 .127 .036 .028 .026 .029 986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .102 .035 .047 .028 .027 .029 986 Urban .083 .084 .102 .127 .131 .122 .028 .027		Rural	.101	.109	.093	.143	.146	.140	.047	.042	.052
984 Urban 108 .119 .097 .140 .136 .144 .020 .020 .019 DS Pakistan .136 .128 .145 .170 .166 .175 .040 .044 .035 DS Pakistan .109 .120 .099 .134 .144 .131 .028 .035 .035 985 Urban .088 .098 .079 .104 .112 .097 .016 .020 .035 86 Urban .083 .098 .116 .159 .165 .157 .036 .033 .047 986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .102 .028 .027 .029 986 Urban .117 .127 .131 .122 .021 .020 .029 986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .021 .020 .023 .023 .023 .023 .023 .023	DS	Pakistan	.125	.124	.126	.151	.153	.149	.031	.033	.028
Rural 1.36 .128 .145 .170 .166 .175 .040 .044 .035 DS Pakistan .109 .120 .099 .134 .144 .131 .025 .035 985 Urban .088 .098 .079 .104 .112 .097 .016 .025 .035 985 Urban .088 .098 .116 .159 .165 .157 .036 .026 .020 DS Pakistan .102 .116 .159 .165 .157 .036 .027 .029 986 Urban .083 .096 .127 .131 .122 .028 .027 .029 986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .102 .028 .027 .029 Pakistan .117 .127 .118 .122 .021 .029 .023 .023 .024 Pakistan .117 .127 .116	984	Urban	.108	.119	760.	.140	.136	.144	.020	.020	.019
DS Pakistan .109 .120 .099 .134 .144 .131 .028 .025 .035 985 Urban .088 .098 .079 .104 .112 .097 .016 .026 .035 .035 985 Urban .127 .116 .159 .165 .157 .036 .025 .020 DS Pakistan .102 .116 .159 .165 .157 .036 .033 .047 DS Pakistan .102 .108 .096 .127 .131 .122 .028 .027 .029 986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .102 .127 .131 .122 .028 .027 .029 Pakistan .117 .127 .131 .122 .021 .027 .029 .029 Pakistan .117 .127 .131 .122 .021 .021 .023 .023 .023 .023 </td <td></td> <td>Rural</td> <td>.136</td> <td>.128</td> <td>.145</td> <td>.170</td> <td>.166</td> <td>.175</td> <td>.040</td> <td>.044</td> <td>.035</td>		Rural	.136	.128	.145	.170	.166	.175	.040	.044	.035
985 Urban .088 .098 .079 .104 .112 .097 .018 .016 .020 Rural .127 .137 .116 .159 .165 .157 .036 .033 .047 DS Pakistan .102 .108 .096 .127 .131 .122 .036 .033 .047 986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .102 .101 .122 .028 .027 .029 986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .102 .101 .102 .023 .024 .023 .024 Pakistan .117 .127 .101 .102 .021 .020 .023 .023 Rural .117 .127 .116 .156 .137 .033 .034 .033	DS	Pakistan	.109	.120	660.	.134	.144	.131	.028	.025	.035
Rural .127 .137 .116 .159 .165 .157 .036 .033 .047 DS Pakistan .102 .108 .096 .127 .131 .122 .028 .027 .029 986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .102 .101 .102 .021 .020 .023 Rural .117 .127 .101 .102 .137 .033 .034 .033	985	Urban	.088	.098	620.	.104	.112	760.	.018	.016	.020
DS Pakistan .102 .108 .096 .127 .131 .122 .028 .027 .029 986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .102 .101 .102 .020 .023 .023 Pakistan .083 .084 .081 .102 .101 .102 .021 .020 .023 Rural .117 .127 .107 .146 .156 .137 .033 .034 .033		Rural	.127	.137	.116	.159	.165	.157	.036	.033	.047
986 Urban .083 .084 .081 .102 .101 .102 .021 .020 .023 Rural .117 .127 .107 .146 .156 .137 .033 .034 .033	DS	Pakistan	.102	.108	960.	.127	.131	.122	.028	.027	.029
Rural .117 .127 .107 .146 .156 .137 .033 .034 .033	986	Urban	.083	.084	.081	.102	.101	.102	.021	.020	.023
		Rural	.117	.127	.107	.146	.156	.137	.033	.034	.033

from 1962–65 through 1986 with an overall percentage decline of about 69 percent. A similar trend is indicated for males and females with the exception of the upward kink for rural female babies in 1985, but even this has not affected the overall relatively lower level for both sexes.

INDIRECT ESTIMATES

In this paper indirect estimates of child mortality risks have also been worked out from three national retrospective sample surveys namely 1975 PFS, 1979 PLM and 1984 PCPS, by using data on children ever born and children surviving to the mothers who were in different age groups at the time of the respective survey. The basic methodology used for indirect estimation of childhood mortality from this information, was developed by Brass (1973). Later [Sullivan (1972); Trussel (1975); and Feeney (1980)] applied some modifications to the Brass approach for working out similar estimates. Since the estimates arrived at in this study, by applying each of these methods were very close to each other, their results were averaged for the purpose of this paper.

Table 2 provides the estimates of child mortality risks in terms of the probability of death from birth till age 5, from the above three surveys. As was observed in the case of direct estimates the indirect estimates also indicate declining trends, in male and female child mortality risks for both urban and rural areas, although the level of these estimates is relatively higher than the direct estimates given in Table 1. It may be mentioned here that the series of retrospective surveys from which the indirect estimates have been derived, were conducted with the primary aim of studying the interrelationships between demographic, socioeconomic, attitudinal and behavioral factors. The indirect estimates worked out from the data provided by these surveys on the past experience of mothers of different age cohorts, involves many assumptions, including the use of model life tables, and, thus, are not conceptually comparable to the direct estimates, which correspond to a specific year for which the relevant data were collected. Thus, in the presence of a series of direct estimates the indirect estimates is for looking at the trends and differentials in the demographic parameter under study. In any case, levels are of a secondary importance for the purpose of this study since the focus here is more on the differentials in child mortality risks. The indirect estimates do have a supportive value even with regard to the estimates of the levels arrived at in this study.

Table 2 shows that in terms of differentials, each of the urban mortality estimate is lower than the corresponding rural estimate. There is also some indication that the decline in female child mortality risks has been relatively higher with the results that the sex differentials have narrowed over the years. The estimates from 1984-85 PCPS show that risks of child mortality are much lower in major urban centres in the country than the smaller towns.

Table 2

Indirect Estimates of Child Mortality Risks in Pakistan

Source	Area	Year to which Estimate Refer	Probability of Death from Birth to Age 5 $({}_5q_0)$		
			Both Sexes	Male	Female
PFS 1975	Pakistan	1968-69	.193	.194	.192
	Urban		.160	.153	.168
	Rural		.216	.223	.209
PLM 1979	Pakistan	1972-73	.165	.174	.161
	Urban		.145	.152	.138
	Rural		.174	.180	.170
PCPS 1984-85	Pakistan	1977-78	.157		
	Major Urban		.102		
	Other Urban		.138		
	Rural		.172		

DIFFERENTIALS BY LITERACY STATUS

Realizing the utility of indirect estimates of child mortality risks for describing the pattern of differentials, this study is extended to provide the differentials in the child mortality risks for mothers who had no schooling and those who had some schooling. A similar set of estimates has been worked out for women who were reported as employed and those other than the employed. This analysis is limited to the 1979 PLM survey, the sample for which was more than double that of the other surveys.

Table 3 shows that the child mortality risks were much higher for mothers who never attended a school as compared to those who had a chance to attend a school. This is true for both male and female children. Similar differentials are also indicated for both urban and rural segments of the country's population but such differentials are higher for urban areas than for rural areas, thus reflecting on their respective living conditions. The present study, therefore, confirms the importance of the mother's education for bringing down the risk of child mortality.

639

Table 3

Differentials in Child Mortality Risks by Literacy Status and Employment Status of Mothers: PLM 1979

to Age 5 (cyg)	Year to which Estimate Refer	Probability of Death from Birth to Age $({}_5q_0)$			
Area		Both Sexes	Male	Female	
Mothers who Ever Attended Schoo	1				
Pakistan	1972-73	.123	.128	.119	
Urban		.087	.112	.062	
Rural		.135	.122	.148	
Mother who Never Attended Schoo	1				
Pakistan		.173	.178	.168	
Urban		.161	.160	.161	
Rural		.176	.179	.173	
Employed Mothers					
Pakistan		.157	.171	.149	
Urban		.107	.139	.085	
Rural		.170	.177	.165	
Other than Employed Mothers					
Pakistan		.168	.175	.163	
Urban		.149	.157	.146	
Rural		.174	.181	.171	

DIFFERENTIALS BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Table 3 also provides the indirect estimates of child mortality risk for mothers who were reported as "employed" and as "other than employed". The table shows that ${}_{5}q_0$ estimates are lower for employed mothers than for other mothers. Such differentials are more conspicuous in the case of urban mothers than that for rural mothers. The male-female differentials in child mortality risks are observed to be higher for employed urban mothers than for other mothers, with the advantage to female babies being much higher than to male babies.

What could be the reasons for such conspicuous differentials for urban mothers than for rural mothers? One explanation in this connection is, that the employed/not employed dichotomy in some respect can be considered to go along with the urban/

rural dichotomy, the urban being relatively ahead of the rural areas on the axis of development with many more chances of availing income-generating opportunities and also of civic and health amenities. Life in urban areas, therefore, helps to keep the infant and child mortality risks on a relatively lower side in comparison with the rural areas. Since the employment of mothers gives access to better resources, the risk of mortality to their children is lower. The same is true for educated mothers, whose share in the proportion of women employed may be much more, particularly in the urban areas, and hence their child mortality estimates are indicated to be relatively much lower. As such an advantage does not exist for employed mothers in rural areas hence the differentials in child mortality risks by employment status, for rural mothers are also less.

The other view is that for some of the employed mothers it is their poverty which forces them to work outside the home in order to supplement their meager family income or, they may be the sole earners for the family. Since their work is at the cost of looking after their children, their children are exposed to a higher risk of mortality.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The series which have provided directly usable statistics on fertility and mortality rates viz, 1962–65 PGE, 1968–71 PGS, 1976–79 PGS and 1984–86 PDS have been the source of working out the estimates which are in accordance with the conventional concepts. Thus their results, in spite of some variations in the estimation procedures of vital events, have been given prior consideration for the purposes of this paper.

The direct and indirect estimates of infant and child mortality risks presented here show that infant and child mortality have been on the decline, but more so in the urban areas than in the rural areas. The study also shows that male-female differentials in child mortality risks have been substantially narrowed, but the advantage to urban female babies has been much more as compared to those in the rural areas. The study confirms the role of mothers education in lowering child mortality risks, but at the same time points towards the desirability for much more attention towards the improvement in the living conditions of the rural areas, so that a further reduction of infant and child mortality risks could be achieved in this predominant segment of our population.

REFERENCES

Alam, Iqbal and John Cleland (1984). "Infant and Child Mortality: Trends and Determinants". In Iqbal Alam and Betzy Dineson, (eds.), Fertility in Pakistan: A Review of Findings from the Pakistan Fertility Survey. Voorburg, Netherlands: International Statistical Institute.

Afzal, Raja and Mohammad

Brass, W., et al. (1973). The Demography of Tropical Africa. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Feeney, G. (1980). "Estimating Infant Mortality Rates from Child Survivorship Data by Age of Mother". Asian and Pacific Census Newsletter. 3(2) and "Estimating Infant Mortality Trends from Child Survivorship Data". Population Studies. 34 (1).

Irfan, Mohammad (1986). Mortality Trends and Patterns in Pakistan. Asian Population Studies. No. 75. United Nations ESCAP Bangkok, Thailand.

Sathar, Zeba A. (1985) "Infant-Child Mortality in Pakistan – Some Trends and Differentials". Journal of Biosocial Sciences. Vol. 17.

Sathar, Zeba A. (1987). "Seeking Explanation for High Levels of Infant Mortality in Pakistan". Pakistan Development Review. Vol. XXVI, No. 1.

Sullivan, J. (1972). "Models for the Estimation of the Probability of Dying Between Birth and Exact Ages of Early Childhood". *Population Studies*. 26(1).

Trussell, T. (1975). "A Re-estimation of the Multiplying Factors for the Brass Technique for Determining Childhood Survivorship Rates". *Population Studies*. 29(1).

And attractive within Levie provided directly madely statistics on tertility and intertainy racial view 1962-65 FGE, 1968-21 PGS, 1975-29 FGS and 1988 i-60 (PQS have insen the source of working out the extentiates which are in accordance withoute conversional connects. Thus then testics, in spin-foll source variations in the same area providents of year events, have been given prior consideration for the planeses of this pape.

The direct and indirect estimates of minut and this area tairylarity presented, here show that rafted and child mortality have been on the dedire, but more ad a the using areas them in theoremsi areas. The treat shows that male terrals differentials in epife mortality take here been interantially narrowed, althout educating to unbar female babies has been much mine as compared to those in the rules areas. The study, confirms the prints more destine the terrality of the rules areas. The study, confirms the prints compared to those in edified mortality risks, but at the same time points compared to those is an well areas. The study, confirms the points compared to those is an origination of the same time points considered to those is an well precommend to the same time points considered to the terrality has a well if highly risks, but at the same time points considered to the terrality has a well of the rules are the same time points considered to the terrality has a well if highly risks, but at the same time points considered to the terrality has a well if an end of the same time points considered the terrality has much and the precomment to the same time points considered the same time, a or the precomment to the same time points considered the same time of a state the same time of the same time points and the terrality has a state and or bereade are the same time points and a state terral state of the same time to the same time area of the same time terral and or bereade are the constant and the same terral state of the same terral area and the content of the same terral state of the same terral and a state terral area. The same terral state of the same terral and a state terral area and the same terral state of the same terral and the precomments is the same terral state and the same terral state of the same terral state of the same terral state of the same terral and the same terral state of the same terral state of the same terral and the same terral state of the same terral state of the same terral state o

Comments on "Some Differentials in Infant and Child Mortality Risks in Pakistan 1962 – 1986"

This is an interesting and well-written paper. I have, however, a few observations about the findings.

1. With regard to the higher female life expectancy, females as compared to males seem to be data errors which the authors have themselves pointed out by virtue of the levels of living, attitudinal changes especially as the levels of literacy and health infrastructure in small urban centres and rural areas did not change much. Even the family planning series did not improve, so there shoud have been some evidence on causal explanation in this area to strengthen the argument.

2. The authors have discussed two social and economic variables to determine the infant and child mortality which seems to be unjustified because health conditions vary substantially by 'major urban', 'other urban' and 'rural' areas. The basis of comparison among the surveys should have been the 'major urban' 'other urban' and 'rural' areas. This is so because the health infrastructure has been heavily based in the major urban areas and this could affect the reporting of children.

3. Comming to the life table model the authors have used the South regional model life table. However I feel it would have been more appropriate if the U.N. model life tables had been used to determine life expectancy at birth.

4. Neonatal as well as post-neonatal mortality should have been discussed which could have shed some light on various sources of data because in conditions of improving mortality post-neonatal mortality usually declines first. But the study did not provide any evidence on this.

5. The high risk in the first month is due to biological and birth-related factors and generally declines less in response to environment and other improvements than mortality after the first month. It would have been more appropriate to study mortality by socio-economic differences and endogenous and exogenous factors could have been analysed for infant and child mortality. The differentials by breast-feeding, birth spacing and sex preferences and maternal mortality should have also been covered because these are critical factors related to infant and child mortality.

Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Islamabad Hussain B. Siyal

642