A Search for an Optimum Currency Area Partners for Pakistan

FAROOQ RASHEED and JAVED A. ANSARI

1. INTRODUCTION

The Indian government has on several occasions advocated the idea that a common currency area be formed in the SAARC region. The response from other member countries has been somewhat lukewarm. They are unconvinced that the benefit of currency union establishment will outweigh the cost emanating from the abandonment of national monetary sovereignty.

This paper seeks to empirically investigate the feasibility of a common currency area for Pakistan with each one of the following countries; India, Bangladesh, Saudi Arabia and Sri Lanka. This empirical investigation involves estimation of the co-variation of the bilateral real exchange rates using the Japanese Yen and the US dollar as base currencies.

Section 2 begins with an eclectic overview of the Optimum Currency Area (OCA) literature. Section 3 presents the estimation methodology, Section 4 discusses the findings and Section 5 concludes the analysis.

2. AN ECLECTIC OVERVIEW OF THE OCA LITERATURE

A currency area may be defined as a domain in which exchange rates of national currencies remain fixed, or else a currency area may have a single currency. In a currency area containing several currencies national central banks will have to co-ordinate their policies to ensure that the build up of the liabilities of a national central bank does not impair the convertibility of its national currency due to loss of reserves [Mundell (1961)].

On the other hand if there is a single currency and a single central bank in a currency area the liabilities of the central bank can expand in a more elastic manner. As Mundell has shown adjustment to balance of payments disequilibria are different in the two cases, even though fixed exchange rates exist in the case of the currency area with several national currencies. In a currency area with several national

Farooq Rasheed is Assistant Professor at Pakistan Air Force Karachi Institute of Economics and Technology, Karachi. Javed A. Ansari is Vice-President and Dean at Pakistan Air Force Karachi Institute of Economics and Technology, Karachi.

Authors' Note: Authors are highly gratified to Dr Abdul Qayyum of PIDE, Islamabad for his valuable comments on the earlier version of this paper. Farooq Rasheed is highly indebted to Dr Eatzaz Ahmad, Chairman, Department of Economics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad for the help he provided to furnish this paper.

currencies, surplus countries may impose the burden of adjustment to balance of payments disequilibria on deficit countries by refusing to alter national prices (alter the terms of trade). In a currency area, with a single currency, the single monetary authority can (but need not) expand money supply to raise output and employment in the deficit countries at the cost of raising prices in the surplus countries (and in the currency area as a whole).¹ The unemployment-inflation trade off exists in both cases of adjustment. Exchange rate flexibility has been seen as a means for "painlessly" sustaining equilibrium but [again as Mundell (1961) has shown] it cannot correct inter-regional imbalances without imposing unemployment on the deficit region and inflation on the surplus region.² Mundell goes on to argue that avoiding painful adjustment requires the existence of regional currencies. Other authors arguing against the adoption of a common currency by the European Common Market in the late 1950s based their case on the relative immobility of factors of production within the EEC [Meade (1957); Scitovsky (1958)] on the other hand saw (and advocated) the adoption of a common currency by the EEC as a means for promoting capital mobility within the EEC region³ and for the adoption of common employment policies. Thus an essential feature of a common currency area (or region) is a high degree of factor mobility as a substitute for exchange rate flexibility among national currencies. The optimum currency area may thus be defined as a region with near perfect internal factor mobility and high external factor immobility. In the Mundell-Meade-Scitovsky view, determining the 'optimality' of a currency area is essentially a measure of estimating its internal and external factor mobility. It is as important to stress that this argument assumes that...

- Fully flexible exchange rate regimes can restore equilibrium without accelerating unemployment and inflation problems.
- Such stabilisation should be the only objective perused by policy-makers.
- Other criteria for determining the size of a common currency area mentioned by Mundell (1961) include...
- Cost of money changing and valuation.
- The import from non-currency area sources to total consumption in the currency area. The higher this ratio is the less optimal a region is for the formation of a currency area.⁴

¹Keynesian assumptions in this analysis and that of Mundell (1961) are obvious.

²Or sharing the burden of adjustment between the deficit region.

³Which then comprised of only six countries, Belgium, France, W. Germany, Italy, Luxemburg and the Netherlands.

⁴Mundell [(1961), p. 663] argues that a crucial assumption of the pro flexible exchange rate lobby is that trade unions are not willing to accept nominal wage adjustments but are willing to accept real wage adjustment required by fluctuations in the exchange rate. This assumption is likely to become increasingly unrealistic as the share of imports in consumption rises.

 Markets within the currency union are strong enough to absorb speculative attacks.

The savings of transaction costs have also been emphasised by several relatively recent studies, e.g. [Feldstein (1997) and Chang (1995)].⁵ Other gains of currency area identified in the recent literature are enhanced price effectiveness and more integrated markets. It is evident that the traditional Mundell-McKinnon model fails to capture many of these dynamic effects.⁶ Cooper, *et al.* (1998) extend this argument to show that welfare gains associated with reduced trade frictions created by the existence of multiple currencies also occur to individual consumers in the common currency area. They also stress the inflation reducing impact of a common currency union "through internalising the external effects of national polices" (1998, p. 3). This also enhances consumer welfare, for agents are no longer hurt by the inflation policies of other (currency union member) governments. Thus the formation of a currency union can generate allocative efficiencies and reduce inflation related distortions.

Copper and Kempf (1998) do not estimate the relative significance of these gains or weigh them against the type of costs mentioned by Mundell or McKinnon and recognised implicitly in Section 4 of their own paper which shows that "acting independently governments will deviate from the monetary union outcome by imposing (national) currency requirements (formation of a currency union) is not a Nash equilibrium of our game" (1998, p. 4). There are real costs of abandoning national monetary sovereignty in a currency union (entailed in the loss of an instrument of stabilisation in conditions of uncertainty), which may significantly outweigh welfare gains to consumers.⁷

Eichengreen and Bayoumi (1999) identify the following criteria for the existence of a successful common currency area.

(i) Symmetry of shocks across countries;⁸ (ii) Trade and investment integration, and (iii) Labour mobility and wage flexibility. The existence of common regional (as against global and country specific) shocks provides a strong case for a common currency.⁹ Lee, *et al.* (2002) argue that increased integration with the world economy can also sometime strengthen the case for adopting a common currency. They decompose output variation in East Asia during 1978–1990 and 1991–1999

⁵In 1990 the European Commission estimated that gains of about 0.5 percent of community GDP would result from the adaptation of a single currency [Emerson, *et al.* (1992)].

⁶As Wyplisz (1997) argues this traditional model provides very little support for the establishment of a monetary union in Europe.

⁷Cooper and Kempf recognise that gains to monetary union may perhaps be modest and "our paper avoided some potential cost of monetary union" (1998, p. 27).

⁸The cost of loss of national control over monetary policy can be reduced if the countries adopting a common currency have synchronised business cycles for then a common monetary policy can serve all countries in the region.

⁹It is on this basis that Bayoumi and Eichengreen (1994) advocated a common currency for East Asia.

into a "regional common, a "world common" and a "country specific" component and find that the impact of the region common and global common factor increases significantly (relative to the country specific factor) during 1991-1999. The impact of the "region common factor" is more than that of the "global common factor" in the case of the East Asian region than it is for the EU during 1991-1999 according to Lee, *et al.* estimations.¹⁰ Prima facie a currency union between Thailand, Indonesia, Malaysia and South Korea (but not for China and Singapore) can have a strong beneficial effect on output stabilisation in the region.

Bayoumi and Eichengreen (1994) using an index developed earlier [Eichengreen and Bayoumi (1999)] also find the East Asian region sufficiently integrated for the formation of a currency union. They note however that the region lacks effective institutions, sound financial systems, a history of integrationist policy and political net working which facilitated the establishment of a currency union in Europe.

Several studies have tried to identify the determinants of synchronisation of business cycles among a group of countries. Trade has been identified as an important source of co-movements of output [Rose (1998), p. 200]; Output movement synchronisation can also be influenced by changes in relative prices of factors and products [Kraay and Ventura (2000)]. If trade leads to specialisation, industry related shocks may reduce synchronisation of output movement among the trading partners [Frankel and Rose (1998)]. Thus both the volume and the composition of trade is likely to effect output synchronisation-bilateral intra industry trade may be expected to have greater impact on output synchronisation than bilateral inter-industry trade. Higher co-movements of output are also stimulated by similarities of the structure of production [Imbs (1999)]. Lee, et al. find that in the case of European countries output co-movements are positively associated with the level of inter-regional trade and the similarity of trade structure. It is negatively associated with per capita GDP¹¹ and similarity of industrial structure. Given the higher level of inter regional trade and greater similarities of economic structure, relative to Europe, Lee, et al. (2002) argue that East Asian economies are likely to benefit more from the establishment of a currency union than the European countries.¹² Output co-variation is also likely to be positively effected by capital mobility for this enhances the speed of adjustment to shocks. But capital market integration induces greater specialisation and therefore larger asymmetric shocks affecting the integrated countries. This reduces the attractiveness of forming a currency union by countries with high levels of capital mobility. Financial integration is in the main a global (not a regional) phenomenon. Park and Sang (2001) show that despite high levels of inter-regional trade there is little evidence of increased regional financial integration in Asia. Financial liberalisation increases

¹⁰This is also accepted by Eichengreen (2004).

¹¹"Other things remaining constant".

¹²Global financial shocks may have different on regional partners.

global not regional integration of national capital and money markets. Regional financial integration in South Asia for example is also inhibited by the small size of banks and their absence in other countries of the region. Other financial institutions (and instruments) also lack a regional presence. Financial liberalisation has increased the dominance of American banks in syndication and M&As transactions in the Indian financial markets (as also in South Korea, Thailand and Indonesia). Financial liberalisation of a currency union. Financial liberalisation does increase pressure for maintaining stable currency values vis-a-vis major hard currencies. Forming a currency union may be an instrument for reducing dependence on imperialist financial markets, but that is unlikely to an objective pursued by the incumbent policy-makers of South Asia. The difference in the impact of financial liberalisation on global as against regional financial integration illustrates the dependence of the potential usefulness of the formation of a currency union financial integration illustrates the dependence of the potential usefulness of the formation of a currency union.

3. METHODOLOGY

This paper is an attempt to study co-movements in the bilateral real exchange rates of Pakistan and four other countries (Bangladesh, India, Saudi Arabia and Sri Lanka) in the region with each of which, a currency union may be envisaged. The theoretical framework is provided by Generalised Purchasing Power Parity (GPPP) theory, which shows that when countries are highly integrated even though their bilateral exchange rates are non-stationary, they can share a common stochastic trend and exhibit a long run integration relationship [Enders, et al. (1994)]. GPPP theory can be interpreted in terms of an optimum currency area. If co movements of bilateral rates of Pakistan and any or all of the other four countries with their major trading partners (the US and Japan) are synchronised a common currency can be formed, since this synchronisation reflects that Pakistan and some or all of the other five countries experience similar types of real disturbances. Forming a currency union in these circumstances can reduce money transaction costs, eliminate exchange rate uncertainty and generate the consumer welfare gains suggested by the literature. This investigation will be followed up by more detailed analysis of the determinants of synchronisation of business cycles (as identified in the literature discussed above) of Pakistan and potential currency union partners in the future.

We begin by estimating the interrelationship of economic variables measuring economic activity in Pakistan, India, Sri Lanka, Saudi Arabia, and Bangladesh. The variables are Real per capita GDP, Trade Balance, Terms of Trade, Volume of Trade and Bilateral Real Exchange Rates with the US dollar and the Japan Yen as base currencies. Rasheed and Ansari

Co-variations in the movements of these variables may be taken as signifying synchronisation of the business cycles in the comparator countries. As Lee (2003) notes if these fundamental variables are significantly interrelated even though bilateral exchange rates are non stationary, they may share common stochastic trends and exhibit a long run co-integration relationship. We estimate the correlation between the bilateral exchange rates on the one hand and bilateral terms of trade and the relative per capita real GDP of each of the comparator countries. Our argument is that a common currency area is justified in case of countries (a) whose fundamental variables are significantly integrated; (b) their bilateral exchange rates are positively correlated to movements in their relative real per capita GDP and relative terms of trade. Under these circumstances we expect the co-movement of bilateral real exchange rate of such countries with their major trading partner, (US and Japan), should share a common stochastic trend.

Following Lee (2003) we estimate the real bilateral exchange rate of comparator countries using the US dollar and the Yen as the base currencies. We define the bilateral real exchange rates of all the countries in the group with Japan and USA as the base countries as

Where R_t is Bilateral Real Exchange Rate, E_t^{ij} is the nominal exchange rate between two countries *i* the foreign country and *j* the domestic country. In our model foreign countries are the base countries, i.e., Japan and the USA. CPI is consumer's price index for foreign and domestic countries (i.e., Pakistan, India, Bangladesh, Saudi Arabia and Sri Lanka). We will take natural log of all the bilateral real exchange rates to perform various tests.

We perform the Augmented Dickey-Fuller ADF test (1979, 1981) to determine the stationarity of each bilateral real exchange rate and test for the existence of a unit root in the bilateral real exchange rates. There have been several theoretical and empirical studies on the stationarity and determinants of the bilateral real exchange rates. Most empirical studies [e.g., Adler and Lehman (1983); Corbae and Ouliaris (1988); Enders (1988); Patel (1990); Kim and Enders (1991)] fail to reject the null hypothesis of a unit root in the bilateral real exchange rate series they examine. If a unit root is present in a bilateral real exchange rate, then there exist real variables determining the real exchange rate. Real disturbances, including changes in terms of trade, tax system, or productivity can lead to a new equilibrium real exchange rate. We test for the existence of a stationary equilibrium error. The existence of this test implies that non-stationary variables share a common stochastic trend. We test the co-integration relationship between bilateral real exchange rate pairs, based on the maximal eigen values test. A speed of adjustment vector is estimated for the bilateral exchange rates. VAR estimates are used to generate the responses of the real exchange rate to a positive one standard deviation shock in the residuals. We try to identify a common stochastic trend in the variation of the real exchange rates. Finally we will estimate the model...

to estimate the relative importance of exchange rate (ER) stabilisation and the growth of regional exports and imports $(X_R + M_R)$ in determining the growth of real per capita income (Y_t) for Pakistan. Estimation procedures and data used are presented along with the discussion of the results in the next section. All the data has been taken from various issues of International Financial Statistics on quarterly basis from 1981:1 to 2002:4.

4. RESULTS

(a) Correlations of Movements in Fundamental Variables (1982:1-2002:4)

The preliminary evidence presented in Table 1 shows greatest synchronisation between the business cycle of Pakistan and Bangladesh. Correlations are high in all variables with the right signs. Correlations are much lower in the case of India and Sri Lanka. Similarly there is no evidence of synchronisations of business cycles of Pakistan and Saudi Arabia.

Table 1

Correlation between Pakistan and Comparator Countries Macroeconomic Variables

	BD	IND	SA	SL
Real per Cap	0.83	0.93	0.89	0.95
Trade Balance	0.79	0.58	0.33	0.32
Terms of Trade	0.81	0.51	0.40	0.47
Vol of Trade	0.96	0.36	0.51	0.27
Ex Rate (Base Yen)	0.93	0.97	0.72	0.95
Ex Rate (Base US \$)	0.88	0.97	0.31	0.83

Т	a	b	le	28	ł
-	~	~ .			٠

ADT Onit Root Tests on BRER base supinese Ten				
	Lag Length	Stationarity at	ADF Statistic	
BD	2	First Difference	-0.65	
IND	2	First Difference	-0.98	
РК	1	First Difference	-0.91	
SA	2	First Difference	-0.25	
SL	1	First Difference	-0.7	

ADF Unit Root Tests on BRER Base Japanese Yen

With no trend and intercept, at 1 percent critical value is -2.65, at 5 percent it is -1.96 and at 5 percent it is -1.6.

Tabl	e	2b	
		_	

	Lag Length	Stationarity at	ADF Statistic
BD	2	First Difference	-0.99
IND	2	First Difference	-0.22
PK	1	First Difference	-0.04
SA	2	First Difference	-0.87
SL	1	First Difference	-0.8

ADF Unit Root Tests on BRFR Base US \$

With no trend and intercept, at 1 percent critical value is -2.65, at 5 percent it is -1.96 and at 5 percent it is -1.6.

(b) ADF Unit Root Tests on BRER with Base US \$ and Japanese Yen

Tables 2a and 2b present the results of the ADF test to determine the stationarity of each bilateral real exchange rate series. As the literature notes the existence of the unit root in bilateral real exchange rate shows there exist real variables as its determinants [Enders and Hurn (1994)].

The Augmented Dicky Fuller Test tests the null hypothesis of a unit root, our results suggest that we cannot reject the null hypothesis of unit root in each series I (1) with the Japanese Yen and the US dollar as the base currency in the case of ADF statistic of Pakistan and four comparator countries.

(c) Speed of Adjustment

Estimates of the speed of adjustment " β " with Japanese Yen and US Dollar as the base currencies. α is the intercept of the equation...

Where R is real bilateral exchange rate, i is base currency, j and k are the two countries.

Estimates of " β " in the equation represent the speed of adjustment in the dependant bilateral real exchange rate due to changes in various independent bilateral real exchange rates (Table 3). Speed of adjustment is particularly high among the bilateral real exchange rate of South Asian countries. The Pak Rupee reacts to the changes in bilateral real exchange rate of Saudi Arabia (with US \$ and Japanese Yen as base) significantly later than it does with respect to the changes in the bilateral real exchange rate of India, Sri Lanka and Bangladesh. It is interesting to note that the shortest speed of adjustment of Pak rupee is with the Bangladeshi Taka and the longest speed of adjustment is with respect to Saudi Arabia with both cases of Japanese Yen and the US Dollar.

	Base Jap	oan Yen	Base	US \$
Pair	α	β	α	β
PK by BD	-18.6	1.24	-23.44	1.53
PK by IND	1.27	1.15	1.65	1.31
PK by SA	-111.20	0.52	66.01	0.49
PK by SL	-2.41	0.87	-1.6	0.84

Table 3

(d) Co-integration Test

We test the co-integration relationship between two variables based on the eigen values. These results are presented in Tables 4a and 4b.

Pakistan's Co-integration Relation with Base Japanese Yen and Base US Dollar						
	BD	IND	SA	SL		
Table 4a Base Yen						
Eigen Value	0.51	0.42	0.36	0.4		
Likelihood Ratio	22.3	13.2	10.9	18.57		
5% Critical Value	19.96	19.96	19.96	19.96		
Co-integrating	Yes	No	No	No		
Table 4b Base US \$	BD	IND	SA	SL		
Eigen Value	0.54	0.42	0.56	0.46		
Likelihood Ratio	24.6	14.5	13.1	20.1		
5% Critical Value	19.96	19.96	19.96	19.96		
Co-integrating	Yes	No	No	Yes		

Table 4 a, b

Assumption: No deterministic trend in the data series with intercept in the co-integration relationship.

The evidence of co-integration is found in the case of Sri Lanka and Bangladesh with US dollar as a base. However with Japanese Yen as a base, only BRER of Bangladesh is integrating with Pakistan's BRER.

Standard Deviation of Bilateral Real Exchange Rates

Standard deviation of bilateral real exchange rate is presented in Table 5. The values of standard deviation of the Pakistani bilateral real exchange rate with Japanese Yen as the base country is higher then that of Bangladesh, India and Saudi Arabia. Using the US dollar as the base currency the standard deviation of Pakistan's bilateral real exchange rate is higher than the standard deviation of all other countries. The only country the standard deviation of whose exchange rate (using both Yen and US dollar as the base currencies) is higher than that of Pakistan is Sri Lanka.

- ·	1 1	1	_
а	n	9	<u>م</u>
. a	$\boldsymbol{\upsilon}$	ιv.	~

Standard Deviation of Bilateral Real Exchange Rates

Country	BD	IND	PAK	SA	SL	BD	IND	PAK	SA	SL
Base Currency	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	US \$				
Standard Deviation	12.3	12.7	14.3	0.21	21.6	11.2	12.1	13.5	0.21	19.9

(e) Impulse Response Function

We use VAR estimates to generate the impulse response function of bilateral real exchange rate to a positive one SD shock in their residuals. The Appendix A presents figures showing the impulse response function. They indicate the impact on the Pakistani bilateral real exchange rate of fluctuations in the bilateral real exchange rate of the other currencies, using both US dollar and Yen as the base currencies. We see that the greatest impact on Pakistan's bilateral real exchange rate is of the fluctuations of the currencies of India and Bangladesh. Result for the Gulf country is inconclusive. The greatest impact of fluctuations in the Pakistan's bilateral real exchange rate is on the bilateral real exchange rate of Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, and India in that order whether we use Yen or US dollar as the base currency.

(f) Common Stochastic Trends

There have been many empirical studies for identifying common stochastic trends; see Ahn (1997); Engle and Kozicki (1993); Vahid and Engle (1993). We have found the existence of a co-integrating vector using the Yen base for Pakistan with Bangladesh and using the US dollar base for Pakistan with Bangladesh and Sri Lanka. Interestingly India does not appear in either group. We would therefore expect the existence of a common stochastic trend between Pakistan with Bangladesh and Sri Lanka. In this study the obtained common stochastic trend represents a weighted average of the Pakistan bilateral real exchange rate and the bilateral real exchange rate of the other countries where the co-integrating vector has been found. The ratio of the weights between the currencies of Pakistan and the other countries are given in Table 6. The common trend apparently represents a weighted average of the vecipital is compatible with the ratio of openness of the two economies, where the openness is defined by the ratio of the sum of total exports and imports to GDP. The graphs showing common stochastic trends are given in Appendix B.

(g) Exchange Rate as Determinant of Real GDP Growth

Finally we estimated the log version of the equation

$$RPCGDP = c + a(BRER) + b(GDP_{t-1}) + d(RVT) \qquad \dots \qquad (5)$$

Where

RPCGDP = Real per Capita GDP.

BRER = Pakistan's Bilateral Real Exchange Rate (in US \$ and Yen).

RVT = Pakistan's relative volume of trade.

Table 6

Pakistan's Orthogonal Matrix				
With BD (with Base Yen)	With SL (with Base Yen)			
0.61 0.48	0.43 0.48			
0.48 0.39	0.48 0.57			
Beta Ratio	Beta Ratio			
0.81	<u>1.15</u>			
With BD (with Base US \$)	With SL (with Base US \$)			
0.42 0.49	0.42 0.49			
0.49 0.52	0.49 0.58			
Beta Ratio	Beta Ratio			
<u>0.65</u>	<u>1.55</u>			

Table 7a

H_0 : Coefficient is Insignificant or Zero					
Variable	Coefficient	t-statistic	Prob.	H_0	
С	0.31	3.44	0.0033	Rejected	
BRER-PK-BASEUS	-0.008	-2.22	0.0045	Rejected	
RPCGDP-PK(-1)	0.76	4.03	0.0012	Rejected	
RVT–PK	0.016	0.81	0.61	Accepted	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.979	Adj R ²	0.976		

Ta	ble	7b

H ₀ : Coefficient is Insignificant or Zero				
Variable	Coefficient	t-statistic	Prob.	H_0
С	0.27	3.82	0.0025	Rejected
BRER-PK-BASEJP	-0.0007	-2.25	0.004	Rejected
RPCGDP-PK(-1)	0.69	3.99	0.0018	Rejected
RVT–PK	0.009	0.74	0.73	Accepted
R^2	0.97	Adj R ²	0.96	

Rasheed and Ansari

Results presented in Tables 7a and 7b shows that variation in Pakistan real exchange rate and in the proportion of Pakistan's trade with the comparator countries in our sample are significant determinants of per capita GDP growth except relative volume of trade but the stabilisation of Pakistan's real exchange rate—through a formation of a currency union—is unlikely to have impact on economic growth of Pakistan since the coefficients of BRER both bases are very low in magnitudes.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Our major conclusions are ...

- Preliminary analysis shows greatest synchronisation in the business cycles of Pakistan and Bangladesh. Synchronisation is much weaker with respect to India and absent in the case of the Gulf country.
- The existence of a unit root is found at the first difference level for all currencies.
- Speed of adjustment of Pakistan's bilateral exchange rate (using both US dollar and Japanese Yen as the base currencies) is quicker for South Asian then Saudi Arabia.
- A co-integration relationship is most pronounced between the Pakistani rupee, the Bangladeshi Taka and the Sri Lankan rupee. There is no evidence for the existence of such co integration with the Indian rupee. The values of the co-integrating coefficients suggest a similarity of the demand structure of Pakistan, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka.
- The strongest impact on Pakistan's exchange rate is that of variations in the Bangladeshi Taka and the Sri Lankan rupee.
- The greatest impact of the fluctuations of the Pakistan rupee is on the Bangladeshi Taka and the Sri Lankan rupee.
- A common stochastic trend has been identified in the case of Pakistan, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka.
- However stabilising the exchange rate is unlikely to have a significant impact on GDP growth in Pakistan. The formation of a currency union cannot be a high policy concern.

These results suggest that some grounds exist for considering the possibilities of establishing a currency union between Pakistan, Bangladesh and Sri Lanka. Clearly India is too large an economy and its business cycle is weakly synchronised with that of Pakistan. Its demand structure is significantly different and a long-term co-integration relationship has not been found between the Pakistani and Indian rupee. This preliminary investigation has not touched upon many other relevant questions (briefly outlined in Section 2). Assessment of factor mobility (within the proposed currency area and between it and the rest of the world), list of money exchange and valuation, ratio of imports from the non currency area to total consumption of the area members, trade and investment structures, inflation proneness etc. is required before a currency union can be advocated. Similarly levels of integration of countries forming a proposed currency union with the world economy and within their region should be assessed. Determinants of synchronisation of business cycles of proposed currency member union member countries should be identified. Trade structures and productive structures of the proposed currency union member countries are particularly impatient in this context. This proposal for a currency area including East and West Pakistan (each region being otherwise autonomous in its macroeconomic policies) was first put forward by Shiakh Mujib (who interpreted the 1940-Pakistan resolution as envisaging this idea). East and West Pakistan had a common central bank, a common parliament and a common supreme court, which functioned for a quarter of a century. Creating a single monetary authority with equal national representation is feasible as unlike in the case of India; the population of Pakistan and Bangladesh are not vastly unequal. Given this common historical background transparency could be built into the monetary management process (broadly along the lines proposed by the Awami League economists in PIDE during the 1960s). Creating open capital accounts is also not difficult as such as more (unlike capital account liberalisation vis-à-vis India) is unlikely to be significantly de-stabilising for either Pakistan or Bangladesh. Both countries have during the past decade been re-capitalising their commercial banks, strengthening prudential regulations and strengthening corporate governance procedures. There are two well known concepts of convergence that appears in the discussions of economic growth across regions; Ahmad and Naz (2000), however the concept of conditional convergence is far more applicable in the situation of OCA and monetary convergences in our case. A gradual and conditional convergence of financial structures and monetary transmission mechanisms is more feasible for Pakistan and Bangladesh than it is for a currency union containing the giant Indian economy and the other South Asian pygmies.

In conclusion we would like to stress that establishing a common fiscal system is not a pre-requisite and may not be a consequence of creating a currency union. Eichengreen (2004) and several other authors support this view and the Awami League PIDE economists were also of this view. That is why they advocated the abandonment of fiscal federalism while remaining supporters of a common monetary policy for East and West Pakistan (one currency, one State Bank and a common transmission mechanism). Despite monetary union a common fiscal system has not been created in the EU. The EU budget is in a typical year less was than 1 percent of EU. GDP illustrating the triviality of the loudly trumpeted stabilisation measures. Counter cyclical stabilisation is the responsibility of national governments, which collect taxes and undertake public spending. Deficit ceilings set by the EU growth and stabilisation pact are routinely ignored and large deficits in Germany and Italy have not driven EU wide real interest rates, for real interest rates are determined by global capital markets, not the capital markets of the EU region.

Rasheed and Ansari

Eichengreen (2004) has also argued that there is no need for instituting a lengthy pre qualification period; (as was the case in the EU), during which Bangladesh and Pakistan are expected to satisfy convergence criteria with respect to budget deficits, inflation, interest rates and exchange rates. There is no need to monitor compliance with strict numerical targets for these macro aggregates: de Grauve (2004) shows that interest and exchange rates variations are endogenous. They will converge us expectation of the formation of the currency union increase. Exchange rates targets are unachievable if capital account liberalisation is also mandated. Moreover meeting the targets during the qualifying periods is no guaranteeing that they will be sustainable after the currency union has been formed. Several EU members have significantly exceeded deficits targets after 2001. The real pre conditions for the formation of a currency union are financial institutional convergence and political will. There is of course a twin causal relations between them hence the formation of a currency union is the both the cause and the effect of an enhanced political empathy and greater convergence of financial and monetary institutions and mechanisms. Policy-makers in Bangladesh and Pakistan should keep this in mind when addressing these questions.

Appendices

Appendix A1





Appendix A2





Appendix B

Common Stochastic Trends of Pakistan Bilateral Exchange Rates



Note: Orthogonal matrix with each country is obtained by $I_2 - \beta(\beta^{\circ} \beta)^{-1}$. Common Stochastic trends can be constructed by (brer of country *j*, brer of country *k*) * first column of orthogonal matrix. β is coefficient vector obtained by using Equation 3.

REFERENCES

- Adler, M., and B. Lehman (1983) Deviations from Purchasing Power Parity in the Long Run. *Journal of Finance* 38:5,147–187.
- Ahmad, Eatzaz, and Amber Naz (2000) An Empirical Analysis of Convergence Hypothesis. *The Pakistan Development Review* 39:4, 729–740.
- Ahn, S. K. (1997) Inference of Vector Autoregressive Models with Cointegration and Scalar Components. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 92, 350–356.
- Bayoumi, T., and B. Eichengreen (1994) One Money or Many? Analysing the Prospects of Monetary Unification in Various Parts of the World. Princeton. (Studies in International Finance No. 76.)
- Bayoumi, T., and B. Eichengreen (1997) Ever Close to Heaven? An Optimum-Currency-Area Index for European Countries. *European Economic Review* 41,761–770.
- Chang, R. (1995) Bargaining a Monetary Union. *Journal of Economic Theory* 66, 89–112.

- Cooper, R., and H. Kempf (1998) Establishing a Monetary Union. NBER, Cambridge, Mass. (Working Paper No. 6791.)
- Cooper, R., H. Kempf, and D. Peled (2004) Is it is or is it Ain't my Obligation? Regional Debt in Monetary Union, Cambridge, Mass. (NBER Working Paper No. 10239.)
- Corbae, D., and S. Ouliaris (1988) Cointergration and Tests of Purchasing Power Parity. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 70, 508–512.
- De Grauve, P. (2004) Comment on Compos e Cunha and Silva. In Gyorgy Szapary and Jurgen Von Hagen (eds.) *Monetary Strategies for Joining the Euro*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar. 164–167.
- Eichengreen, Barry (2004) The Case for Floating in Asia. In Monetary and Financial Integration on East Asia: The Way Forward. Asian Development Bank. London: Palgrave-Macmillian. 2, 49–90.
- Eichengreen, Barry, and Tamim Bayoumi (1999) Is Asia an Optimum Currency Area? Can It Become One?: Regional, Global and Historical Perspective on Asian Monetary Relation. In S. Collignon, J. Pisaini-Ferry, and Y.C. Park (eds.) *Exchange Rate Policies in Emerging Asian Countries*. 347–366.
- Emerson, M., et al. (1992) One Market, One Money. London: Oxford University Press.
- Enders, W. (1988) ARIMA and Cointegration Tests of PPP under Fixed and Flexible Exchange Rate Regimes. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 504–508.
- Enders, W., and S. Hurn (1994) Theory and Tests of GPPP: Common Trends and Real Exchange Rates in the Pacific Rim. *Review of International Economics* 2:2, 179–190.
- Engle, R. F., and S. Kozicki (1993) Testing for Common Features. Journal of Business and Economic Statistics 11, 369–380.
- Feldstien, M. (1997) The Political Economy of the European Economic and Monetary Union. *Journal of Economic Perspective* 2, 23–42.
- Frankel, Jeffrey, and Andrew Rose (1998) The Endogeneity of the Optimum Currency Area Criteria. *Economic Journal* 108, 1009–1025.
- Imbs, Jean (1999) Co-Fluctuations. (CEPR Discussion Paper No. 2267.)
- Kim, J. O., and W. Enders (1991) Real and Monetary Causes of Real Exchange Rate Movements in the Pacific Rim. Southern Economic Journal 57, 1061–1070.
- Kraay, Aart, and J. Ventra (2000) Prices and OECD Cycle. (NBER Working Paper No. 7788.)
- Lee, J. W., et al. (2002) A Currency Union in East Asia. Manila: Asian Development Bank.
- Lee, M. (2003) Common Trends and Common Currency: Australia and New Zealand. *International Journal Business and Economics* 2:2, 155–165.
- Meade, J. E. (1957) The Balance of Payment and Problems of A Free Trade Area. *Economic Journal* 62, 379–96.

- Mundell, R. (1961) A Theory of Optimum Currency Areas. *American Economic Review* 60, 657–665.
- Park, Y. C., and Sang (2001) Managing Capital Account Liberalisation: The Experience of Indonesia, Malaysia, Korea, and Thailand. Paper presented in a conference on Capital Liberalisation in Transition Economies hosted by IMF, National Bank of Ukraine, and Netherladsche Bank in Kiev, Ukraine on October 21–26.
- Patel, J. (1990) Purchasing Power Parity as a Long-run Relation. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 57, 367–379.
- Rose, Andrew K. (2000) One money, One Market: the Effects of Common Currencies on Trade. *Economic Policy* 30.
- Scitovsky, T. (1958) Economic Theory and Western European Integration. Stanford.
- Vahid, F. and R. F. Engle (1993) Common Trends and Common Cycles. Journal of Business and Econometrics 8, 341–360.
- Wyplosz, C. (1997) EMU: Why and When. *Journal of Economic Perspective* 2, 3–32.